

Stink!, Feature Documentary, Jon Whelan, <http://www.StinkMovie.com>, NetReturn Entertainment

page 1

1.	<b>Act 5</b>		<b>ACT 5</b>
2.			<p><b>THE CHEMICAL INDUSTRY TOLD US THAT LEAD WAS SAFE, ASBESTOS WAS SAFE, TOBACCO WAS SAFE AND NOW IT'S TELLING US THAT THE CHEMICALS IN OUR EVERYDAY PRODUCTS ARE SAFE.</b></p> <p><b>BUT IF THESE CHEMICALS ARE SAFE THEN WHY IS INDUSTRY SO AFRAID OF DISCLOSING THEM?</b></p>
3.	<b>JEFFREY HOLLENDER</b>	<b>Seventh Generation Sustain Condoms</b>	<p>We have in America, just a broken system and I think that it will have long term negative consequences for us as a nation. I think the lack of regulation – the lack of responsibility, will make us a less competitive and successful country.</p>
4.			<p><b>THE TOXIC SUBSTANCES CONTROL ACT -THE 1976 LAW THAT WAS SUPPOSED TO KEEP US SAFE FROM TOXIC CHEMICALS MAY BE DOING THE EXACT OPPOSITE.</b></p> <p><b>BUT BECAUSE MOST AMERICANS HAVE NEVER HEARD OF TSCA, THEY DON'T REALIZE HOW IMPORTANT IT IS TO FIX IT.</b></p>
5.	<b>ANDY IGREJAS</b>	<b>Safer Chemical Healthy Families</b>	<p>More and more there's peer reviewed articles in mainstream publications linking chemicals that are common in the economy, in your home with health effects health problems that are also increasing in the population that's the major theme</p>
6.			<b>NOW, PUBLIC HEALTH OFFICIALS HAVE BROUGHT THE FIGHT TO REFORM TSCA TO WASHINGTON</b>

7.			Igrejas: Chemicals are contributing to the burden of diseases in this country – the diseases that affect millions of American families and TSCA reform is fundamentally a solemn exercise in trying to make progress in preventing that.
8.	<b>DR. RICHARD DENISON</b>	<b>Environmental Defense Fund</b>	Dennison: EPA can not tell us with any accuracy, how many chemicals are actually in commerce today // banned chemicals that we were told would never be exposed to are now routinely being found in dust in our homes, in our environment – and even in the bodies of people living in the most remote parts of the globe.
9.	<b><u>SENATOR BARBARA BOXER</u></b>	<b><i>U.S. Senator, CA</i></b>	<p><b>Senator Barbara Boxer:</b> Do you agree that chemical manufacturers should have to prove through unbiased studies that their products are safe for pregnant woman, for infants and for children before they can sell those chemicals in the US?</p> <p><b>Senator Barbara Boxer:</b> if someone can't answer that question with an affirmative response then they are putting the special interest before the health of the people.</p>
10.			<b>BUT CONSUMERS ARE NO MATCH FOR THE AMERICAN CHEMISTRY COUNCIL'S SECRET WEAPON</b>
11.	<b><u>CALVIN DOOLEY</u></b>	<p><b><i>U.S. Congressman, CA</i></b></p> <p><b><u><i>American Chemistry Council.</i></u></b></p> <p><b><u><i>Grocery Manufacturers Association.</i></u></b></p>	<b>Cal Dooley:</b> If you think this is somehow going to create jobs in the United States ah I beg you to come and visit the industry and understand how it works.

12.	<b>CAL DOOLEY</b>	<a href="#">Monsanto</a>	<p><b>CONGRESSMAN CAL DOOLEY, A SEVEN TERM REPRESENTATIVE FROM CALIFORNIA, PROVED HIMSELF A RELIABLE SOLDIER FOR INDUSTRY.</b></p> <p><b>Cal:</b> Well thank you Simon, and I am once again trying to do the right thing.</p> <p><b>MARSHALLING BILLS THAT BENEFITED COMPANIES LIKE MONSANTO, CONAGRA, AND THE MEAT INDUSTRY...</b></p>
13.	<b>CAL DOOLEY</b>	<a href="#">GMO</a>	<p><b>Cal:</b> Genetically enhanced products are in fact been determined to be safe</p>
14.	<b>CAL DOOLEY</b>		<p><b>AND BEING INDUSTRY FRIENDLY IN CONGRESS REALLY PAID OFF FOR CAL</b></p> <p><b>Cal:</b> I really have the honor of representing over 350 food, beverage and consumer products companies</p>
15.	<b>CAL DOOLEY</b>		<p><b>FIRST AS THE CEO OF THE "GROCER'S MANUFACTURERS ASSOCIATION". CONGRESSMAN CAL BECAME CALORIE CAL AND HIS SALARY WAS SUPERSIZED!</b></p>
16.	<b>CAL DOOLEY</b>		<p><b>THEN CALORIE CAL GOT PROMOTED TO BE CEO OF THE AMERICAN CHEMISTRY COUNCIL.</b></p> <p><b>Cal:</b> I am Cal Dooley, president of the American Chemistry Council</p> <p><b>CALORIE CAL WAS NOW CHEMICAL CAL.</b></p>

17.	<b>CAL DOOLEY</b>		<b>Cal:</b> We have a chlorine tree here, which shows the hundreds and hundreds of different products that chlorine is used in. Chlorine's in this water, Chlorine's in the varnish that's on your desk, Chlorine's in the semiconductors that are in your phones.
18.	<b>CAL DOOLEY</b>		<b>AND BECAUSE EVERY PRODUCT HAS CHEMICALS, CAL DOESN'T JUST LOBBY FOR THE TRILLION DOLLAR CHEMICAL INDUSTRY, HE INDIRECTLY LOBBIES FOR EVERY INDUSTRY – EVEN FRACKING</b>
19.	<b>CAL DOOLEY</b>		Cal: Uh, we use natural gas as a feedstock uh it's kind of like what flour is to the bakery and so the chemical industry takes natural gas and makes plastics, composites, it makes food packaging materials, it makes textiles – it goes into literally thousands of different products.
20.			<b>WHEN I WAS KID, MY FATHER TOLD ME THAT PEOPLE HAVE TWO JOBS. THE FIRST JOB IS THE ONE THEY TELL THEIR FAMILY ABOUT AT THE DINNER TABLE AND THE SECOND JOB IS THE ONE THEY ACTUALLY DO DURING THE DAY.</b>
21.	<b>ANDY IGREJAS</b>	<b>Safer Chemicals Healthy Family</b>	They want to be seen as being progressive and being concerned for safety but really they are fighting independent scientific reviews of these chemicals, they are against the recommendations of the National Academy of Science, and they fight anything fight anything that would ever lead to regulating a chemical, as they push even roll backs of the few existing things that could ever lead to regulating a chemical. They're just a hypocrisy machine that they have really put in place
22.			<b>EVEN THOUGH THE AMERICAN CHEMISTRY COUNCIL DECLINED MY INTERVIEW REQUEST, I STILL WANTED TO WITNESS A THREE MILLION DOLLAR LOBBYIST IN ACTION</b>

23.	<b>SENATOR <u>TOM UDALL</u></b>	<b>U.S. Senator, New Mexico</b>	<p>Senator Tom Udall: Mr. Dooley, are you advocating for our body burden of chemicals to go up over time? That's just a yes or no question Cal. And then you....</p> <p>Cal Dooley: its a no // but // I'll be honest, I take offense when anyone would even insinuate that our industry you know is supporting an increase in the body burden of chemicals over a period of time.</p> <p>Senator Tom Udall: Well that's where we're headed right now, where do you think we're headed?</p> <p>Cal Dooley: And that's what we are advocating for the modernization of TSCA.</p>
24.	<b>SENATOR <u>BEN CARDIN</u></b>	<b>U.S. Senator, Maryland</b>	<p>Senator Ben Cardin: You say in your statement that the current law needs to be reformed - if the industry truly believes that then you need to come forward with what you believe is necessary.</p> <p>Cal Dooley: This bill, with this safety standard, is a prescription to deny US manufacturers ability to be at the forefront of innovation and creating jobs and in terms of the safety standard that you have proposed here that we have objected to (Cardin: the question is this) we have an alternative</p>
25.			<p><b>AS I LISTENED TO CAL'S POLITICAL DOUBLE-SPEAK I COULDN'T HELP THINKING ABOUT MY WIFE, OUR KIDS AND THE 350 MILLION AMERICANS WHO ARE EXPOSED TO TOXIC CHEMICALS EVERYDAY.</b></p>
26.	<b>BEN CARDIN</b>		<p><i>Senator Ben Cardin: If your objective is to defeat legislation, if that's your objective then I understand what you're doing but if your objective is to get legislation enacted then I don't understand what you're doing.</i></p>

			<i>Cal Dooley: It's a fundamental disagreement on the direction to go</i>
27.			<b>MY FATHER ALSO TOLD THAT WHEN DEALING WITH BULLIES – GO AFTER THE BIGGEST ONE. I COULDN'T RESIST.</b>
28.			<p><b>JW:</b> Hey Cal, howya doing, Jon Whelan, nice to meet you. Do you think that American's should know if there's a carcinogen or endocrine disrupting chemical in their products?</p> <p><b>Cal Dooley:</b> Yes, absolutely and that's why we have our regulatory agencies, EPA and FDA that are working with all stakeholders to get that type of information.</p> <p><b>JW:</b> So you think it should be disclosed on a label, carcinogens and endocrine disruptors?</p> <p><b>CD:</b> We think that ah every consumer products should comply with regulations that are created by EPA and FDA.</p> <p><b>JW:</b> But under current law that is not part of the regulations, do you think that they should disclosed carcinogens or endocrine disruptor on a label.</p> <p><b>CD:</b> We have EPA and FDA and industry as well as consumers should rely on those regulatory agencies to make those determinations and what's the appropriate information that should be disclosed.</p> <p><b>JW:</b> What do you think some of the main benefits for consumers for undisclosed carcinogens and endocrine disrupting chemicals?</p> <p><b>CD:</b> Well it's not, the issue is complex and needs to be dealt with a science basis // if a product doesn't have an exposure of any type of</p>

			<p>chemical that may pose a health concern which is being determined by FDA and EPA then it shouldn't be required to be labeled which is what congress and policy makers determined.</p> <p><b>JW:</b> Don't you think a parent has a right to know that there is a carcinogen in a product that they are buying for a baby?</p> <p><b>CD:</b> the ah ....the hearing that we are having today is basically trying to modernize and reform the laws so the regulatory agencies are doing a better job</p> <p><b>JW:</b> But that doesn't force the disclosure of carcinogens - people might want to know there's a carcinogen in a product they are buying?</p> <p><b>CD:</b> And that's where congress has that authority</p> <p><b>JW:</b> Well what do you think personally, would you want know if there is a carcinogen in a product you're buying?</p> <p><b>CD:</b> we want to have the appropriate information that is disclosed that pose unreasonable risk to human health and the environment.</p> <p><b>JW:</b> I like to separate the safety and the transparency issue - people have a right to know - risk can be subjective but whether you're transparent that's binary - you're either disclosing or you're not. Why wouldn't you want people to know there's a carcinogen in product they're buying?</p> <p><b>CD:</b> I think that, You know we, you know we have to place a great deal of confidence with our regulatory agencies Food and Drug Administration...</p>
--	--	--	--



			<p><b>JW:</b> How can we trust them if they don't even have the information?</p> <p><b>CD:</b> Oh they</p> <p><b>JW:</b> The FDA is not legally obligated to ask a company it regulates for a list of chemicals in their own products.</p> <p><b>CD:</b> FDA has information about every chemical that is in a consumer product</p> <p><b>JW:</b> No they don't</p> <p><b>CD:</b> Sure they do.</p> <p><b>JW:</b> They do not. What about the fragrance loophole?</p> <p><b>CD:</b> I'm not - I don't represent them</p> <p><b>JW:</b> They say it's a trade secret so they don't have to disclose carcinogens and EDC'S</p> <p><b>CD:</b> Even on a trade secret they have the information</p> <p>JW: Can we have a sit down meeting and talk about the disclosure issue?</p> <p>CD: Yeah well, if you can work with my staff</p> <p>JW: Thanks Cal</p> <p>CD: You bet.</p>
--	--	--	--

29.			<p><b>INDUSTRY WANTS TO HAVE IT BOTH WAYS: THEY DON'T WANT TO PROVE CHEMCIALS ARE SAFE AND THEY DON'T WANT TO DISCLOSE THEIR INGREDIENTS. IF THEY DID THEN CONSUMERS WOULD SEE THRU THE PRETTY PACKAGING AND LEARN THE UGLY TRUTH ABOUT WHAT'S INSIDE.</b></p>
30.	<p><b>DR. RICHARD DENISON</b></p>	<p><a href="#">EDF</a></p>	<p>I think we still do have somewhat of a mindset that if this were a big problem we would be seeing lots of people dying to put it bluntly, in fact the science is telling us that is a naïve assumption. Chemical exposure and other environmental factors can result in changes that do dramatically effect our health in ways that are serious and don't kill you but they certainly affect the quality of life for the entirety of your life.</p> <p>WW: No hands.</p> <p>SW: Willow go higher.</p> <p>WW: Ok, ok</p> <p>Today we need to be sensitive to the chronic effects of chemicals not just their acute effects</p>
31.			<p>JW: Willow, if someone made a movie about you, what would you want them to know?</p> <p>WW: Everything</p> <p>JW: Such as what?</p>

			<p>SW: How awesome her sister is.</p> <p>JW: Oh jeez</p> <p>WW: I kicked the camera</p>
32.			<p><b>WE WILL NEVER KNOW EXACTLY WHAT CAUSED HEATHER'S BREAST CANCER AND MAYBE IT DOESN'T MATTER</b></p> <p><b>BUT WE CAN'T RULE OUT EXPOSURE TO TOXIC CHEMICALS</b></p>
33.			<p><b>AND WHEN I LOOK AT OUR DAUGHTERS, WHY WOULDN'T DO EVERYTHING I COULD TO REDUCE THEIR CHANCES OF SUFFERING FROM CANCER – OR ANY OTHER DISEASE.</b></p> <p><b>ON THE FIRST ANNIVERSARY OF HEATHER'S DEATH, THE GIRLS WANTED TO SEND HER A MESSAGE.</b></p> <p><b>AND THEY THOUGHT BALOONS WOULD BE THE BEST WAY TO REACH HER.</b></p> <p><b>TODAY, EVEN THOUGH HEATHER IS GONE, THE FLOWERS SHE PLANTED ALWAYS COME BACK.</b></p>
34.			<p><b>THE MOST IMPORTANT THING I LEARNED ON THIS JOURNEY IS THAT JUST BECAUSE A PRODUCT IS ON THE SHELF IT DOESN'T MEAN THAT IT'S SAFE. CONSUMERS WILL HAVE SAFER OPTIONS IF TWO THINGS HAPPEN:</b></p> <p><b>1. COMPANIES DISCLOSE ALL THE INGREDIENTS IN THEIR PRODUCTS</b></p> <p><b>AND</b></p>

			<p><b>2. CONGRESS FIXES THE TOXIC SUBSTANCES CONTROL ACT SO THAT REGULATORS CAN GET THE CHEMICALS OF GREATEST CONCERN OFF THE MARKET.</b></p> <p><b>BUT AS LONG AS THE CHEMICAL INDUSTRY RESISTS CALLS TO PROVE CHEMICALS SAFE AMERICANS' BODY BURDEN OF CHEMICALS WILL CONTINUE TO ESCALATE.</b></p> <p><b>WE MUST ACT NOW - OUR CURRENT SYSTEM STINKS</b></p>
35.	<b>ANDY IGREJAS</b>		<p>We know that chemicals are contributing to the cancer incidence in this country to the incidence of learning disabilities to the incidence of infertility and // if you could restrict the chemicals that contribute to it, you're taking a hunk of the suffering out of chronic disease in this country. You're taking some amount of it down, and that's a worthy goal.</p>
36.	<b>LEONARDO TRASANDE</b>		<p>If we actively screened these chemicals for their potential health effects we could do so much right away – we're talking probably about 1-2% of all chemicals in wide commerce that are of greatest concern.</p>
37.	<b>JANE HOULIHAN</b>	<a href="#">Environmental Working Group</a>	<p>I think for some families the wake up point is when someone becomes sick // and it's why it really takes a holistic approach where we're making different personal choices, companies are doing a better job and we're getting better policies in place.</p>
38.	<b>LEXY ZISSU</b>		<p>It's just amazing how much difference we can make by not taking this stuff into our own homes and it's great common sense to exercise precaution // I mean how can you not – one you learn this you can't unlearn it.</p>
39.	<b>STACY MALKIN</b>	<b>Campaign for Safe Cosmetics U.S. Right to Know</b>	<p>I believe this is a fight that we're going to win - it's the direction that many companies are already going.</p>

40.	<b>JUDY SHILLS</b>	<b>Teens Turning Green</b> <a href="#">Turning Green</a>	I think to get people to wake up is getting out as much information as we can // and as long as we can hit critical mass then change happens.
41.			<p><b>WHEN I LOOK BACK AT THE TIME I SHARED WITH HEATHER, AND WHAT I LEARNED FROM HER, I KNOW I CAN'T HIT THE RESET BUTTON.</b></p> <p><b>BUT I CAN FOLLOW HER LEAD AND DO THE BEST JOB POSSIBLE TO RAISE OUR GIRLS TO BE AWARE, LOVING, AND STRONG – JUST LIKE THEIR MOM.</b></p>
42.	<a href="#">Aeropostale</a>	<b>(NYSE: ARO), \$ARO</b>	<p>Aero: Thank you for calling Aeropostale.com, how can I help you today?</p> <p>Sophie: I have a product question.</p> <p>Aero: Ok</p> <p>Sophie: I am looking at the kids polka dot fleece sleep pants I was wondering if you use flame retardant chemicals on them and if you do which ones?</p> <p>Aero: Ok, all I am seeing here is relaxed fit, flame resistant, it doesn't say anything about any type of chemicals, does that answer your question?</p> <p>Sophie: Not really. I don't think that is something someone would normally put on their website is there any other way I could find it out?</p> <p>Aero: Well, let me see here, Could you hold please, while I try and find out that information</p> <p>Sophie: Sure, and now I am on hold.</p>
43.			<b>End Credits.</b>